

## Sumário

International Taxation and the Challenges for Multilateralism in the Context of the OECD Multilateral Instrument .....	7
1. Introductory Remarks .....	7
2. Tax Policy and the International Tax Regime.....	9
2.1. Introductory Remarks.....	9
2.2. The Background to the OECD/G20 BEPS Initiative.....	10
2.3. The Effect of the MLI on Tax Treaties .....	11
3. The MLI .....	20
3.1. Introductory Remarks.....	20
3.2. The Background to the MLI.....	21
3.3. The <i>Explanatory Statement</i> .....	25
3.4. Interpretative Questions .....	28
3.5. The MLI and Flexibility .....	32
4. Closing Remarks .....	36
Implementation in Practice of the Principal Purpose Test on the Multilateral Instrument .....	39
1. Introductory Remarks .....	39
2. The Multilateral Instrument (Action 15) on the Base Erosion and Profit Shifting (BEPS) Project .....	45
3. Implementation of the Principal Purpose Test on the Multilateral Convention .....	46
3.1. Reservations, Optional Provisions and Notifications.....	48

3.2. Step-by-Step Application .....	51
4. Operation in Practice of the Principal Purpose Test (PPT).....	54
4.1. The OECD Proposal on the Principal Purpose Test and the Interaction of Treaties .....	54
4.2. Matching Flowcharts, Matching Databases, and Matrix of Options.....	59
4.3. UK DTAs <i>vis-à-vis</i> China, India, Russia and South Africa .....	61
4.4. Practical Consequences in the UK DTAs <i>vis-à-vis</i> China, India, Russia and South Africa Based on the Comparative Functional Approach.....	63
5. Closing Remarks.....	67
The DNA of the Principal Purpose Test in the Multilateral Instrument.....	69
1. Introductory Remarks .....	69
2. State-of-the-Art: What If Tax Avoidance Were an International Concept? .....	73
3. Similar Features of General Anti-Avoidance Rules.....	77
4. Common Requirements or Primary Elements of GAARs: Are They Truly Subjective Criteria? .....	84
4.1. Characterisation of the Tax Avoidance Scheme, Transaction or Arrangement: The Scheme Requirement.....	84
4.2. The Identification and Measurement of the Tax Benefit, Gain or Advantage: The Tax Effect Requirement.....	89
4.3. Is Finding a Tax Avoidance Purpose, Intent or Motive a Truly Subjective Criterion? The Purpose Requirement or the Subjective Element.....	93

4.4. Interim Remarks.....	104
5. Distinguishing Requirements or Secondary Elements of GAARs: Are They Truly Objective Criteria? .....	105
5.1. The ‘Rationale’ of the Scheme, Transaction or Arrangement: The Reasonableness Requirement.....	105
5.2. The ‘Form and Motives’ of the Scheme, Transaction or Arrangement.....	110
5.3. The ‘Purpose of the Legislation’: The Purposive Interpretation Requirement or The Objective Element .....	115
5.4 Interim Remarks.....	122
6. Closing Remarks .....	123
From the Guiding Principle to the Principal Purpose Test: Burden of Proof and Legal Consequences .....	127
1. Introductory Remarks .....	127
2. The Guiding Principle as Tax Treaty Anti-Avoidance Rules in the Pre-MLI World and the Principal Purpose Test in the MLI.....	128
3. Similar Features of <i>General Anti-Avoidance Rules</i> (GAARs).....	134
4. Burden of Proof .....	136
5. The Legal Consequences of the PPT Rule.....	142
6. Closing Remarks .....	150
The Impact of the MLI and the BEPS Action Plan on the Brazilian Tax Treaty Network .....	151
1. Introductory Remarks .....	151
2. Background to the MLI .....	152
2.1. Tax Treaties Entered into before the MLI .....	152

2.2. Domestic and Treaty-Based Doctrines, Provisions and Practices before the MLI .....	156
2.3. Treaty-Based Anti-Avoidance Provisions.....	160
3. Closing Remarks .....	165
Concluding Remarks: Tax Treaty Interpretation after BEPS.....	171
Annex 1.....	175
Bibliography.....	191